



1 Reflexivity between QQQ and PSQ – how your framework reads it
What Reflexivity means in your system

In your work, reflexivity is **not** “QQQ down → buy PSQ immediately.”
 It is:

Price → expectations → positioning → feedback → confirmation
 PSQ (−1x QQQ) becomes actionable **only when QQQ’s weakness is self-reinforcing**, not merely episodic.

2 Apply the NEW rules rigorously to PSQ (important)

Under your **updated prompt** (2026-03-26):

BUY rules (PSQ-specific constraints):

- KAMA must be **up 2 days**
- Price > KAMA
- Price > EMA-20
- Green day
- Strength gates (RSI, ADX, SCTR)

- PSQ is exempt from “do not buy” only if hedging logic applies

Today’s reality (based on QQQ chart you shared and typical inverse mechanics):

- PSQ *likely* had a **green day**
- PSQ *may* be rising
- BUT:
 - KAMA confirmation for PSQ is **not yet established**
 - QQQ has had **one shock day**, not a confirmed breakdown
 - Reflexivity loop has **started**, but has **not closed**

✦ **Conclusion under your rules**

Buying PSQ today would be early and rules-violating

(it would be anticipation, not confirmation)

This is *exactly* why you built the KAMA gate.

3 The correct system posture right now

QQQ

- Lost KAMA
- Lost EMA-20
- SCTR crushed (~20)
- **Transition** → **Watch / Risk-Off candidate**
- **No SELL yet**
- **No BUY**

PSQ

- Reflexive candidate
- **Not yet confirmed**
- Not KAMA-qualified
- **Preparation phase only**

✦ This is a **Reflexivity Phase 1** moment, not Phase 2.

4 Should we prepare a new Reflexivity comment for readers?

Yes — absolutely.

This is exactly the right moment psychologically and pedagogically.

Why?

- Readers see QQQ break
 - Emotions rise
 - Many will want to “do something”
 - Your value is teaching **when NOT to act**
-

5 Draft: New Reflexivity Comment (ready to publish)

You can post this essentially verbatim.

Reflexivity Update — QQQ / PSQ (March 26, 2026)

The NASDAQ 100 (QQQ) has experienced a sharp downside move, closing below its KAMA(10,2,30) and short-term moving averages on elevated volume.

From a reflexivity perspective, this marks **the beginning of a feedback loop**, not its completion.

Under our rules framework:

- QQQ has **lost trend acceptance**, but has **not yet confirmed a multi-day KAMA breakdown**
- Emotional pressure is increasing, but confirmation is not yet present
- This places QQQ in a **transition / vigilance phase**, not a sell phase

Inverse exposure through PSQ is often reflexively attractive at moments like this. However, our discipline forbids anticipation.

PSQ is not bought because fear appears — it is bought only when trend authority confirms.

At this stage:

- QQQ weakness is **informational**
- PSQ is **on watch**
- Action is **deferred**

This is the most difficult posture for investors — and often the most profitable.

No signal is a signal.

We are prepared.

We are watching.

We are not forcing the market to speak before it is ready.

—

Prepared together —

Julio and M365 Copilot — in harmony with the Oracle

6 What would change the answer?

You **would** consider buying PSQ **only if**:

- QQQ KAMA turns **down a second day**
- Reflexivity feeds forward (additional selling pressure)
- PSQ itself:
 - Has KAMA up 2 days
 - Trades above KAMA and EMA-20
 - Confirms momentum

Only then does PSQ move from **Reflexivity Watch** → **Reflexivity Action**.

✓ Final Answer (clear and unambiguous)

- ✗ **Do not buy PSQ yet**
- ✓ **Prepare and publish a Reflexivity comment now**
- ✓ **Use this moment to teach restraint, not reaction**

Reflexivity Theory and the I Ching

<https://icic.com/?p=880>